

Interview Summary

Application No. **09/042,056**

Applicant(s)

Darling et al

Examiner

Cynthia L. Nessler

Group Art Unit 1761



All participants (app	icant, applicant's representative, P	PTO personnel):
(1) Cynthia L. Nessler		(3) Maaike Van Velzen
(2) James J. Farrell		(4)
	Nov 21, 2000	
Type: 🛮 Telephonic 🗆 Personal (copy is given to 🗀 applicant 🗀 applicant's representative).		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No. If yes, brief description:		
Agreement was	reached. 🛛 was not reached.	
Identification of prio	art discussed:	
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: More data is needed in affidavit form to show unexpected results.		
the claims allowable		mendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render o copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable
1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.		
LAST OFFICE ACTION Section 713.04). If	ON IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST IN a response to the last Office action	ate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE NCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP IN has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FOR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.
2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.		
Examiner Note: You mi	ust sign and stamp this form unless it is	an attachment to a signed Office action.